

ON THE EXISTENCE OF NONTRIVIAL THREEFOLDS WITH VANISHING HODGE COHOMOLOGY

JING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We analyze the structure of the algebraic manifolds Y of dimension 3 with $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$, $i > 0$ and $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) > 1$, by showing the deformation invariant of some open surfaces. Secondly, we show when a smooth threefold with nonconstant regular functions satisfies the vanishing Hodge cohomology. As an application, we prove the existence of nonaffine and nonproduct threefolds Y with this property by constructing a family of a certain type of open surfaces parametrized by the affine curve $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ such that the corresponding smooth completion X has Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ and D -dimension 1, where D is the effective boundary divisor with support $X - Y$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the structure of algebraic manifolds Y of dimension 3 with $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$, $i > 0$. Originally this question was raised by J.-P. Serre for complex manifolds [Se]. Since by Serre duality Y is not complete, Y is affine if it is a curve ([H2], page 68). If Y is a surface, it was classified by Mohan Kumar [Ku] (see the following theorem in this section). We are interested in three dimensional case. Suppose that X is a smooth completion of Y . If there are nonconstant regular functions on Y , i.e., $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) > 1$, then Y contains no complete curves and the boundary is connected [Zh]. Therefore we may assume that the boundary is of pure codimension 1 by suitable blowing up subvarieties on the boundary. Let D be an effective divisor with simple normal crossings ([KoM], page 5) such that $\text{supp } D = X - Y$. The condition $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) > 1$ is equivalent to $\kappa(D, X) > 0$. Here we use the standard definition of D -dimension due to Iitaka. If for all integers $m > 0$ we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) = 0$, then we define the D -dimension of X , denoted by $\kappa(D, X)$, to be $-\infty$. If $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) \geq 1$ for some m , choose a basis $\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ of the linear space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD))$, it defines a rational map Φ_{mD} from X to the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ by sending a point x on X to $(f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then we define $\kappa(D, X)$ to be the maximal dimension of the images of the rational map Φ_{mD} , i.e.,

$$\kappa(D, X) = \max_m \{\dim(\Phi_{mD}(X))\}.$$

Let K_X be the canonical divisor of X , then the Kodaira dimension of X is the K_X -dimension of X , denoted by $\kappa(X)$, i.e.,

$$\kappa(X) = \kappa(K_X, X).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J30, 14C20; Secondary 32Q28.

Before we state our theorems, we need Mohan Kumar's result for surfaces.

Theorem (Mohan Kumar) *Let Y be a smooth algebraic surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$, then Y is one of the following*

- (1) Y is affine.
- (2) Let C be an elliptic curve and E the unique nonsplit extension of $\mathcal{O}_C$ by itself. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_C(E)$ and D be the canonical section, then $Y = X - D$.
- (3) Let X be a projective rational surface with an effective divisor $D = -K$ with $D^2 = 0$, $\mathcal{O}(D)|_D$ be nontorsion and the dual graph of D be $\tilde{D}_8$ or $\tilde{E}_8$, then $Y = X - D$.

Theorem 1.1. *If Y is an algebraic manifold of dimension 3 with $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$ and $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) > 1$, then we have a surjective morphism from Y to a smooth affine curve C such that all smooth fibres are of the same type, i.e., exactly one of the three types of open surfaces in Mohan Kumar's classification. Moreover, if one fibre is not affine, then X has Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ and D -dimension 1.*

It is well-known that the type (2) and type (3) projective surfaces are rigid. However, the rigidity of the projective surfaces does not imply the rigidity of the open surfaces. The problem is that if a surface is affine, then its smooth completion can be any projective surface. In particular, it can be type (2) or type (3) projective surface. More precisely, assume that a type (3) projective surface X_0 deforms to a projective surface X_1 , then X_0 and X_1 have the same minimal model [I4], [BaPV], Chapter VI, Theorem 8.1. Let S_0 and S_1 be the corresponding open surfaces in Y contained in X_0 and X_1 respectively, then we have $H^i(S_0, \Omega_{S_0}^j) = H^i(S_1, \Omega_{S_1}^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$ [Zh]. Since both affine surface and type (3) open surface satisfy this condition, even though X_0 is isomorphic to X_1 , in priory, S_0 and S_1 may not be of the same type. So we need to rule out the following case: some isolate fibre is affine but general fibres are not affine. We will carefully analyze how the cohomology of the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X(nD)$ changes when restricted to each fibre to obtain the deformation invariant of the open surfaces.

Theorem 1.2. *With the same assumption as in the above theorem, if one smooth fibre S_0 of $f|_Y$ over $t_0 \in C$ is affine, then by removing finitely many fibres $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$ from Y , the new threefold $Y' = Y - \cup S_i$ is affine.*

When restricted to a fibre, if the global divisor D on X is ample, then the above theorem is trivial by [KoM], Proposition 1.41. However, if an open fibre is affine, we only know that its boundary on the corresponding projective surface is the support of an ample divisor on the surface. There is no guarantee that this ample divisor on the fibre can be extended to a global divisor on X . We will use Goodman and Hartshorne's result (Lemma 3.1) to transfer the cohomology condition on the open fibre to the closed fibre in order to apply upper semicontinuity theorem.

Let $\bar{C}$ be a smooth projective curve containing C . Let $F_n = \Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)$. Now we do not assume $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$. We want to know whether Y satisfies this condition if every fibre S satisfies it, i.e., $H^i(S, \Omega_S^j) = 0$

for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$. We know that if globally Y is such a threefold, then each fibre must satisfy the same vanishing condition [Zh]. The converse is very subtle. Assume that each fibre and the base satisfy some property in a fibre space, then globally the property may fail. A famous example is Skoda's counterexample [Sk] for Serre's question [Se]: Is the total space of a holomorphic fibre bundle with Stein base Z and Stein fibre F a Stein manifold? In order to prove that the vanishing Hodge cohomology holds for Y , we will first prove the local freeness of the higher direct images $R^i f_* F_n$ for $n \gg 0$. The local freeness is interesting on its own.

Theorem 1.3. *If we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f|_Y & & \downarrow f \\ C & \hookrightarrow & \bar{C} \end{array}$$

such that f is proper and surjective and each fibre X_t over $t \in C$ is of type (2) projective surface, then $R^i f_ F_n|_C$ is locally free for all $i \geq 0$ and $n \gg 0$. Therefore $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$.*

If we also assume that every horizontal divisor D_i (i.e., $f(D_i) = \bar{C}$) intersects each smooth fibre $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ over $t \in C$ with one prime divisor on X_t , then for type (3) fibres, the theorem still holds. We add this technical condition because a prime component of D might intersect some fibre with two or more curves.

Theorem 1.4. *In the above commutative diagram, if each fibre X_t over $t \in C$ is of type (3) projective surface, then $R^i f_* F_n|_C$ is locally free for all $i \geq 0$ and $n \gg 0$. Furthermore, $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$.*

Corollary 1.5. *If we have a surjective morphism from a smooth threefold Y to a smooth affine curve C such that every fibre is smooth and the above diagram commutes, then $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$ if and only if $H^i(S, \Omega_S^j) = 0$ for every fibre S and all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$.*

As a consequence of above theorems, we have the following existence theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *There exist nonaffine and nonproduct threefolds Y with $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$.*

We will prove these theorems in the following sections. The proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 is similar. We will just prove Theorem 1.3.

Question Are the threefolds Y Stein in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4?

Convention Unless otherwise explicitly mentioned, we always use Zariski topology, i.e., an open set means a Zariski open set.

Acknowledgments I would like to express my thanks to the following professors for helpful discussions: Michael Artin, Steven Dale Cutkosky, Dan Edidin, N.Mohan Kumar, Zhenbo Qin, A. Prabhakar Rao, David Wright and Qi Zhang.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Theorem(Iitaka) *Let X be a normal projective variety and let D be an effective divisor on X . Then there exist two positive numbers α and β such that for all sufficiently large n we have*

$$\alpha n^{\kappa(D,X)} \leq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD)) \leq \beta n^{\kappa(D,X)}.$$

For the proof of Iitaka's theorem, see Lecture 3 [I1] or Theorem 8.1 [U].

The following two lemmas are known [Ku].

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a smooth open surface with $H^i(S, \Omega_S^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$. Let $\bar{S}$ be a smooth projective surface containing S and G be the divisor in Mohan Kumar's Theorem. Then there are three cases.*

(1) *If S is affine, then $\kappa(G, \bar{S}) = 2$ and*

$$h^0(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(nG)) \geq cn^2$$

for some positive constant c and $n \gg 0$.

(2) *If S is of type (2), then*

$$h^0(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(nG)) = h^1(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(nG)) = 1, \quad h^2(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(nG)) = 0$$

for all $n \gg 0$.

(3) *If S is of type (3), then*

$$h^0(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(nG)) = 1, \quad h^1(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(nG)) = h^2(\bar{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(nG)) = 0$$

for all $n \gg 0$.

Proof. (1) Since S is affine, $\bar{S} - S$ is support of an ample divisor A by Goodman's theorem [H2], page 69. So $\kappa(A, \bar{S}) = \kappa(G, \bar{S}) = 2$ [I6] and [Ba], Chapter 14. The estimate is obvious by Iitaka's Theorem.

(2) The equalities follow from Lemma 1.8, [Ku] and the following Lemma 2.2(1).

(3) See Lemma 1.8, 3.1 [Ku] and the following Lemma 2.2(2).

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.2. *With the preceding notation, we have*

(1) *If $\bar{S}$ is of type (2), then $G^2 = 0$, $K_{\bar{S}} = -2G$, $p_g = 0$ and $q = 1$.*

(2) *If $\bar{S}$ is of type (3), then $G^2 = 0$, $K_{\bar{S}} = -G$, $p_g = q = 0$.*

Proof. (1) This is a standard result for the ruled surface over an elliptic curve. The proof can be found in [H1], Chapter V, Section 2 or [Ku].

(2) See Lemma 1.6 and 3.1 [Ku].

Q.E.D.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism between varieties (schemes) with Z connected. Let $z_0 \in Z$, $k(z_0) = K$, and $X_{z_0} \cong X_0$. Then the other fibres X_z of f are called deformations of X_0 , [H1], page 89. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, the deformation of a nonsingular complex surface X_0 means the following by the same notation: Both X and Z are smooth and f is surjective, proper and flat morphism (i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is

a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Z,f(x)}$ -module for all $x \in X$) such that the fibre over $z_0 \in Z$, $X_{z_0} \cong X_0$, [BaPV], page 36. By [I4], we know that the deformation of a rational surface is again rational. By Theorem (8.1), Chapter VI, [BaPV], the deformation of a ruled surface over a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 1$ is also of the same type, i.e., has the same minimal model.

We need Kodaira's stability of (-1) -curves. It is Theorem 5 in [Kod1].

Theorem(Kodaira) *Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a surjective, proper holomorphic map which is flat. If for some point $0 \in Z$ the fibre X_0 contains a (-1) -curve E_0 , then there is an open neighborhood (in complex topology) U of 0 in Z and a closed and connected submanifold E of $f^{-1}(U)$ such that $E \cap X_0 = E_0$ and such that $E \cap X_t = E_t$ is a (-1) -curve for every $t \in U$.*

Further, in Kodaira's Theorem, there is a $g: X' \rightarrow U$, a surjective, flat, proper holomorphic map such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & X' \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ U & \xrightarrow{\approx} & U \end{array}$$

where $h|_{X_t}: X_t \rightarrow X'_t$ is the blowing down of E_t . Let us state the contraction part precisely. The proof is due to Suwa [I5], Appendix 1.

Theorem(Suwa) *Let X and Z be complex manifolds, and let f be a proper, surjective and flat holomorphic map from X to Z , such that every fibre X_z is a smooth surface. If there exists a complex submanifold E of X such that its restriction to X_z : $E_z = E \cap X_z$ is an irreducible exceptional curve of the first kind on X_z at any $z \in Z$, then we can construct a complex manifold X' , which is proper over Z , and a holomorphic map $h: X \rightarrow X'$ over Z , such that $h|_{X_z}: X_z \rightarrow X'_z$ shrinks E_z to a point in X'_z for every point $z \in Z$, and such that $h|_{X-E}: X - E \rightarrow X' - h(E)$ is biholomorphic.*

Upper Semicontinuity Theorem (Grauert, Grothendieck) *Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes, $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on X , flat over Z , then*

(1) *The i -th direct image $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on Z for any nonnegative integer i .*

(2) *Let $\mathcal{F}_z = \mathcal{F}|_{X_z}$, i.e., the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ restricted to the fibre $X_z = f^{-1}(z)$, then the function*

$$d_i(z) = h^i(X_z, \mathcal{F}_z) = \dim_{k(z)} H^i(X_z, \mathcal{F}_z)$$

is upper semi-continuous on z . That is, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set $\{z \in Z : d_i(z) \geq n\}$ is a closed set, where $k(z) = \mathcal{O}_z/\mathcal{M}_z$, the residue field at the point z .

(3) *The Euler characteristic of the restriction sheaf $\mathcal{F}_z$*

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}_z) = \sum (-1)^i \dim_{k(z)} H^i(X_z, \mathcal{F}_z)$$

is locally constant on Z .

(4) *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *$h^i(X_z, \mathcal{F}_z)$ is a constant function on Z ,*

(ii) $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ is locally free sheaf on Z , and for all $z \in Z$, the natural map

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_z} k(z) \longrightarrow H^i(X_z, \mathcal{F}_z)$$

is an isomorphism.

In addition, if these conditions are satisfied, then

$$R^{i-1} f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_z} k(z) \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(X_z, \mathcal{F}_z)$$

is an isomorphism for all $z \in Z$.

For a proof, see [Mu], page 46-53.

In this section, from now on, we assume that the condition of Theorem 1.1 holds. Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *If one smooth fibre $S_{t_0} = S_0$ is of type (2) or (3) open surface in Mohan Kumar's classification, then there is an affine open set U such that $S_t = f^{-1}(t) - D$ over every $t \in U$ is of the same type.*

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram in [Zh]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f|_Y & & \downarrow f \\ C & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{C}, \end{array}$$

where f is proper and surjective and for all $t \in C$, $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ is a smooth projective surface. The minimal model of X_t is the same as the minimal model of type (2) or type (3) surface but may contains exceptional curves of the first kind.

Note that S_0 is not affine. Let $X_0 = f^{-1}(t_0)$. By Lemma 2.1 and [U] Lemma 5.3, even though the divisor $D_0 = D|_{X_0}$ contains exceptional curves of the first kind, we still have $H^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}(nD_0)) = \mathbb{C}$ for all nonnegative integer n . Let $D_t = D|_{X_t}$, then D_t is a connected curve on $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ since X_t is smooth and $H^i(S_t, \Omega_{S_t}^j) = 0$ [Ku]Lemma 1.4. By upper semi-continuity, there is an affine open set U in C such that $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}(nD_t)) = \mathbb{C}$ since every D_t is effective. Therefore every fibre S_t over $t \in U$ is not affine by Lemma 2.1.

Secondly, if S_0 is of type (2) open surface in Mohan Kumar's classification, then $p_g(X_0) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = 0$ and $q(X_0) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = 1$ (Lemma 2.2). Here the boundary divisor $D_0 = D|_{X_0}$ may contain exceptional curves so X_0 may not be minimal. But p_g is birational invariant and q is bimeromorphic invariant [BaPV], page 107 and [H1], page 181. Since $R^i f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $R^i f_*(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X))$ are locally free for all $i \geq 0$ [Kol1, Kol2], again by upper semi-continuity, $p_g(X_t) = 0$ and $q(X_t) = 1$ for every $t \in C$. Now X_0 has the minimal model of a ruled surface over an elliptic curve, by the classification theorem (1.1), page 243 and deformation theorem (8.1), page 263, Chapter VI [BaPV], there is an affine open set U such that for every $t \in U$, X_t has the same minimal model as X_0 in Mohan Kumar's theorem, i.e., type (2) projective surface.

Similarly, if S_0 is of the third type, then there is an affine open set U such that S_t over every $t \in U$ is of the same type since the deformation of a rational surface is still rational [I4].

Q.E.D.

Remark 2.4. If S is of type (2) open surface in Mohan Kumar's Theorem, then any point on S cannot be contained in any exceptional curve of $\bar{S}$, where $\bar{S}$ is a smooth completion of S . So if $\bar{S}$ is not minimal, then all exceptional curves are contained in the boundary $\bar{S} - S$.

Lemma 2.5. *If there is an affine open set U in C such that for every $t \in U$, $t \neq t_0$, $S_t = f^{-1}(t) - D$ is of type (2) open surface of Mohan Kumar's classification, where t_0 is a fixed point of U , then S_0 must be of the same type surface.*

Proof. First, S_0 cannot be of type (3) since $X_t = f^{-1}(t)$ is not rational and the deformation of rational surfaces is still rational [I4]. We know that there are three possible smooth fibres [Ku, Zh]. So we only need to prove that S_0 is not affine. It suffices to prove that $h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(nD_0))$ is bounded for all n . In fact, in our case, it is 1. Here $X_0 = f^{-1}(t_0)$, $D_0 = D|_{X_0}$ and $S_0 = X_0 - D_0$.

By Kodaira's Stability Theorem of (-1) -curves and Suwa's Theorem, we may assume that D_0 has no exceptional curve of the first kind. So there is a small open set V in C (complex topology), for all points $t \in V$, $D_t = D|_{X_t}$ has no exceptional curves of the first kind. In fact, if there is $t_1 \in V$, D_{t_1} has a component E_1 , such that E_1 is an exceptional curve of the first kind, then $E_1^2 = E_1 \cdot K_{X_1} = -1$, where $X_1 = f^{-1}(t_1)$. There is a prime component G of D in X such that $E_1 \subset G$. Let $E_t = G|_{X_t}$ for $t \in V$, then by upper semi-continuity, the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nE_t)$ is constant for every $t \in V$ and every $n \geq 0$. So for any $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nE_t)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(nE_0)).$$

By Riemann-Roch formula, for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}n^2E_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}nE_t \cdot K_{X_t} = \frac{1}{2}n^2E_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}nE_1 \cdot K_{X_1}.$$

So $E_t^2 = E_t \cdot K_{X_t} = -1$ for all $t \in V$. In particular, $E_0^2 = E_0 \cdot K_0 = -1$. This is impossible since D_0 has no (-1) -curves by our assumption. Thus for all $t \in V$ and $t \neq t_0$, X_t is type (2) surface, i.e., a minimal ruled surface over an elliptic curve. But D_t may not be a prime divisor. Let D'_t be the elliptic curve (a section) as in Mohan Kumar's classification, then there is a positive integer $n(t)$, depending on t such that $D_t = n(t)D'_t$. Since the function $n(t)$ is discrete, there is a dense subset B in V such that $n(t)$ is a constant c for all $t \in B$. Let $t_1 \in V - B$ and $K_1 = K_{X_1}$. Considering the divisor $D + cK_X$, when restricted to the corresponding fibre $X_1 = f^{-1}(t_1)$, by upper semi-continuity, we have

$$h^0(X_{t_1}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{t_1}}(D_{t_1} + 2cK_1)) \geq h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(D_t + 2cK_t)) = 1,$$

and

$$h^0(X_{t_1}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{t_1}}(-D_{t_1} - 2cK_1)) \geq h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(-D_t - 2cK_t)) = 1,$$

where $t \in B$, $D_t + 2cK_t = cD'_t + 2cK_t = c(D'_t + 2K_t) = 0$ (Lemma 2.2). So $\mathcal{O}_{X_{t_1}}(D_{t_1} + 2cK_1)$ must be trivial, i.e.,

$$D_{t_1} + 2cK_1 = n(t_1)D'_1 + 2cK_1 = -2n(t_1)K_1 + 2cK_1 = 0.$$

Therefore $n(t_1) = c$ for every $t_1 \in V - B$. Hence $D_t = cD'_t$ for every $t \in V$. By changing coefficient locally, we may assume $D|_{X_t} = D'_t$, where $2D'_t + K_t = 0$.

Since $2D_t + K_{X_t} = 0$ for every $t \neq t_0$, similarly, considering the divisor $2D + K_X$, when restricted to every fibre X_t , we have

$$h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(2D_0 + K_0)) \geq h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(2D_t + K_{X_t})) = 1.$$

On the other hand

$$h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-2D_0 - K_0)) \geq h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(-2D_t - K_{X_t})) = 1.$$

So we have

$$h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(2D_0 + K_0)) = h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-2D_0 - K_0)) = 1.$$

Again this implies that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(2D_0 + K_0)$ is trivial. Hence $2D_0 + K_0 = 0$. Since S_t has vanishing Hodge cohomology, and X_0 is isomorphic to X_t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(2nD_0)) &= h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-nK_0)) \\ &= h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(-nK_t)) = h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(2nD'_t)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore S_0 is not affine.

Q.E.D.

Remark 2.6. Let U be covered by a set of small open discs U_i . By the above argument, for each i , there is a constant c_i such that for $t \in U_i$, $D_t = c_i D'_t$, where D'_t is the irreducible boundary elliptic curve on X_t . Since U is connected, all these c'_i s are equal. That is, there is constant c , such that for all $t \in U$, $D|_{X_t} = D_t = cD'_t$. Thus we have proved that by changing the coefficients of D , the new boundary divisor D' on X satisfies $D'|_{X_t} = D'_t$.

Lemma 2.7. *If there is an affine open set U in C such that for every $t \in U$, $t \neq t_0$, S_t is of type (3) open surface, where t_0 is a fixed point of U , then S_0 must be of the same type.*

Proof. First, S_0 is not of type (2) open surface since X_0 is rational by Iitaka's theorem [I4]. We only need to prove that S_0 is not affine as above lemma. It suffices to prove that $h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(nD_0)) < cn^2$ for all positive number c (Lemma 2.1).

As in Lemma 2.5, we may assume that D_t contains no exceptional curves of the first kind for every t in U . In fact, if there is an exceptional curve E_1 of the first kind in D_{t_1} for some point t_1 in U , then locally analytically, E_1 sits in an irreducible nonsingular divisor D_1 of X , i.e., D_1 is a prime component of D . (We may assume that D is an effective divisor on X with simple normal crossings [Zh].) Now f is proper on D_1 and D_1 is a manifold. So we can apply Kodaira's extension theorem locally on D_1 near D_{t_1} . More precisely, in our case, we can compute it directly. Since D_1 is smooth, for a small number $\epsilon > 0$, in a neighborhood $V = \{t \in C, |t - t_1| < \epsilon\}$ of t_1 , D_1 intersects every fibre X_t with a prime divisor on

X_t . Since $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}) = 0$ (Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2), by the Riemann-Roch formula and upper semi-continuity, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nE_t)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}n^2E_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}nE_t \cdot K_{X_t} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}n^2E_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}nE_1 \cdot K_{X_1},$$

where $E_1 = D_1|_{X_t}$. So E_t is again an (-1) -curve on D_1 . This implies that all the extended (-1) exceptional curves near D_{t_1} sit in D_1 and do not meet Y . So after contraction, Y remains the same, that is, when contracting (-1) -curves, we only change the boundary D_t but all the open surfaces S_t over $t \in U$ remain unchanged.

If D_t is the special divisor D'_t as in Mohan Kumar's Theorem, i.e., its dual graph is either $\tilde{D}_8$ or $\tilde{E}_8$, then we have $D_t + K_{X_t} = 0$ for every $t \in U$ and $t \neq t_0$ by Lemma 2.2. By the similar inequalities as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(D_0 + K_0)) = h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-D_0 - K_0)) = 1.$$

Hence $D_0 + K_0 = 0$. Since X_0 is of type (3) projective surface and $H^i(S_0, \Omega_{S_0}^j) = 0$, we know that S_0 is not affine and must be of type (3) open surface. But we cannot guarantee that the dual graph of D_t is either $\tilde{D}_8$ or $\tilde{E}_8$. We only know D_t has nine components and every prime component is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection -2 ([Ku]). In Lemma 2.5, we may assume that the special divisor D'_t on X_t is the restriction of a global divisor D on X_t since D'_t has only one component by Remark 2.6. Now the situation is more delicate.

Let D'_t be the special divisor of type (3) projective surface as above, i.e., its dual graph is either $\tilde{D}_8$ or $\tilde{E}_8$, $D'_t \cdot D'_t = D'_t \cdot K_t = 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_{D'_t}(D'_t)$ is nontorsion [Ku]. For any nonnegative integer n , there is m such that $mD'_t - nD_t$ is effective. For example, we may choose $m = an$ where a is the maximum coefficient of D'_t 's components. So

$$0 < h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) \leq h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(mD'_t)) = 1.$$

Therefore $h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = 1$. By Serre duality, $H^2(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = 0$ for all $n \gg 0$ since K_{X_t} and D_t have the same support by Lemma 2.2. Consider $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t))$, there are three cases [Za].

Case 1. $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t))$ is bounded, i.e., there is a positive integer k such that for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) \leq k < \infty.$$

By Zariski's theorem, page 611, [Za], D_t is arithmetically effective. By Riemann-Roch formula and Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, we have

$$h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = -\frac{1}{2}n^2D_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}nD_t \cdot K_{X_t}.$$

This equality gives us $D_t^2 = D_t \cdot K_t = 0$ since $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t))$ is bounded for all n . Then for every prime component E in D_t , we have $E \cdot D_t = 0$ since D_t is arithmetically effective. By Lemma 1.7 [Ku], we know $D_t = n(t)D'_t$, where the positive integer $n(t)$ depends on the point t in U . So for every $n \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(mn(t)D'_t)) = 0.$$

Now the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(nD_0)$ is

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(nD_0)) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}n^2D_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}nD_0 \cdot K_{X_0} = 1.$$

Thus again $D_0^2 = D_0 \cdot K_{X_0} = 0$. By the same argument as in the above lemma and remark, there is a positive integer c such that for every $t \in U$ and $t \neq t_0$, $D_t = cD'_t$. Considering the divisor $D + cK_X$ on X , when restricted to X_0 , we have

$$h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(D_0 + cK_{X_0})) \geq 1, \quad h^0(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-D_0 - cK_{X_0})) \geq 1.$$

So $D_0 = -cK_{X_0}$. Let $D_0 = P + N$ be the Zariski decomposition of D_0 , then P is nef, N is negative definite (both are effective) and every component of N does not intersect P . Let E be a prime component of P . Locally analytically, E is contained in a prime divisor G of X . Let $G|_{X_t} = E_t$. Applying upper semi-continuity and Riemann-Roch formula to $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}(nE)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nE_t)$, we have $E \cdot K_0 = E_t \cdot K_t = 0$, [Ku], Lemma 3.1. Thus $E \cdot D_0 = E \cdot (-cK_0) = 0$. If $E \cdot P > 0$, then $E \cdot P = E \cdot (D_0 - N) = -E \cdot N > 0$. Therefore $E \cdot N < 0$. This means that E must be a component of N which is a contradiction since no component of N intersects P . So $P^2 = 0$. By Corollary 14.18, [Ba], $\kappa(D_0, X_0) \leq 1$. By Lemma 2.1, S_0 is not affine.

Case 2. If $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t))$ is as large as cn for some positive number c , then by Zariski's theorem [Za], page 611, D_t is arithmetically effective and the intersection form of D_t is negative definite. This contradicts Lemma 1.6 [Ku]. So this case cannot happen.

Case 3. If $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t))$ is as large as kn^2 for some positive number k , then by Riemann-Roch formula, we know $D_t^2 < 0$. Let $D_t = A + B$ be the Zariski decomposition such that A is arithmetically effective, $B \geq 0$ is negative definite and every prime component of B does not meet A . There is a positive integer n_0 such that n_0A and n_0B are integral. Without loss of generality, we may assume A and B are integral. Since there is a positive integer l such that $lD'_t - D_t$ is effective, we have exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(nD_t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(nlD'_t) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Q is the cokernel. Hence we still have $h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = 1$ even though D_t is different from D'_t . Therefore $\kappa(D_t, X_t) = 0$ by Iitaka's Theorem. This implies $A^2 = 0$ [Za] or [Ba], Corollary 14.18. Since A is arithmetically effective and $\text{supp} D_t = \text{supp} A \cup \text{supp} B$, for every prime component E of D_t , $E \cdot A = 0$. By Corollary 1.7 [Ku], there is positive integer m_0 such that $A = m_0D'_t$. So $D_t^2 = B^2$ and $D_t - D'_t \geq 0$. Let $D_{0,i}$ be a prime component of $D_0 = D|_{X_0}$. Choose a small neighborhood V of t_0 such that locally analytically in V , $D_{0,i}$ lies in a unique prime divisor D_i of $f^{-1}(V)$. D_i cuts every fibre X_t , $t \in V$ with an irreducible (-2) -curve. So over V , there is one to one correspondence between the prime divisor of D_t and the prime divisor of $f^{-1}(V)$. We may rearrange the coefficients of D_i locally as in the proof of the above lemma such that $D_t = cD'_t$, $t_0 \neq t \in V$. So $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = 0$ for all $t \in V$, $t \neq t_0$. Then we reduce Case 3 to Case 1. This proves that S_0 is not affine.

Q.E.D.

Remark 2.8. If D_t is not the special divisor as in Mohan Kumar's Theorem, i.e., D_t has different coefficients from D'_t but they have the same support, then we still have $D_t \cdot K_t = 0$ by Lemma 3.1 [Ku]. Since $h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nB)) = 1$, by Riemann-Roch formula, $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = -\frac{1}{2}n^2D_t^2 = -\frac{1}{2}n^2B^2 = h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nB)) \sim cn^2$. Thus $B^2 < 0$. Since B is definite negative, by Lemma 1.6 [Ku], we know that the support of B is strictly smaller than the support of D_t .

Remark 2.9. Let X_t be of type (3) projective surface and D'_t the special divisor as above. Let E be any prime component of D'_t . Then $E^2 = -2$ [Ku]. Since the canonical divisor $K_t = -D'_t$, by Riemann-Roch, $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD'_t + E)) = n^2$. Combining with the above argument, for any divisor D_t with the same support as D'_t , we know either $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = 0$ or $h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) \sim cn^2$ for some positive integer c .

Lemma 2.10. *If S_0 is affine then there is an affine open set U in C such that for every $t \in U$, S_t is affine.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the above lemmas since S_0 only can be one of the three surfaces.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.11. *If there is an affine open set U in C such that for every $t \neq t_0$, S_t is affine, then S_0 is affine.*

Proof. This is an immediate conclusion of Mohan Kumar's classification and upper semi-continuity theorem.

Q.E.D.

The first half of Theorem 1.1 follows from the above lemmas. The second half is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.11 and Theorem 6.12 in [U]. In fact, if one smooth fibre X_0 is not affine, then all smooth fibres are not affine by the above lemmas. Since X_0 is a ruled surface, $\kappa(X_0) = -\infty$. So

$$\kappa(X) \leq \kappa(X_0) + 1 = -\infty.$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$0 < \kappa(D, X) \leq \kappa(D_t, X_t) + 1 = 1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Lemma 3.1 (Goodman, Hartshorne). *Let V be a scheme and D be an effective Cartier divisor on V . Let $U = V - \text{Supp}D$ and F be any coherent sheaf on V , then for every $i \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} H^i(V, F \otimes \mathcal{O}(nD)) \cong H^i(U, F|_U).$$

This lemma enables us to transfer the cohomology information from Y to its completion X .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The idea is to prove for any coherent sheaf F on Y' , $H^i(Y', F_{Y'}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Since the dimension of Y' is 3, we only need to consider $i = 1, 2, 3$. We use the technique in [Zh] with some modification. We present all details for completeness.

Notice that $Y' \subset Y$. Let $F_{Y'}$ be any coherent sheaf on Y' , then it can be extended to a coherent sheaf F_X on X and $F_Y|_{S_t}$, $F_X|_{X_t}$ are coherent, [H1] page 115, page 126. We will not distinguish them and just write F . Since general fibre X_t over $t \in C$ is smooth and irreducible [Zh] and for any F , there is an open set U in C such that $R^i f_* F$ is locally free on U , we may assume that $R^i f_* F$ is locally free on C and every fibre over C is smooth and irreducible.

(1) Proof of $H^3(Y, F) = 0$.

Since S_t is affine for every t in C , we have $H^i(S_t, F|_{S_t}) = 0$ for every $i > 0$. Let $F_n = F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)$ and $F_{n,t} = F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)|_{X_t}$. By Goodman and Hartshorne's Lemma,

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} H^i(X_t, F_{n,t}) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$ and $t \in C$. Since each fibre has dimension 2, we have $H^3(X_t, F_{n,t}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $t \in C$. By upper semi-continuity, $R^3 f_* F_n = 0$ for all n . Again by Goodman and Hartshorne's Lemma,

$$H^3(Y, F) = \lim_{\vec{n}} H^3(f^{-1}(C), F_n) = \lim_{\vec{n}} R^3 f_* F_n(C) = 0.$$

(2) Proof of $H^2(Y, F) = 0$.

It suffices to prove the claim for locally free sheaves. In fact, suppose $H^2(Y, L) = 0$ for any locally free sheaf L on X . For any coherent sheaf F on X , there is a locally free sheaf L on X such that we have the surjective map $L \rightarrow F$. Let K be the kernel, then we have short exact sequence on Y

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0.$$

By step 1, we know $H^3(Y, K) = 0$ since K is also coherent [H1]. So $H^2(Y, L) = 0$ implies $H^2(Y, F) = 0$.

So we may assume that F is a locally free sheaf on X .

Let $t \in C$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(nD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((n+1)D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D((n+1)D) \rightarrow 0,$$

tensoring with F then with $\mathcal{O}_{X_t}$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow F_{n,t} \rightarrow F_{n+1,t} \rightarrow F_{n+1,t}|_{D_t} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since D_t is a curve, $H^2(X_t, F_{n+1,t}|_{D_t}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $t \in C$. So the map $H^2(X_t, F_{n,t}) \rightarrow H^2(X_t, F_{n+1,t})$ is surjective. Since $S_t = X_t - D_t$ is affine, by

Goodman and Hartshorne's Lemma,

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} H^2(X_t, F_{n,t}) = H^2(S_t, F) = 0.$$

So for any $t \in C$, there is a positive integer $n(t)$, depending on t such that for every $n \geq n(t)$, $H^2(X_t, F_{n,t}) = 0$.

Given any n , there is an affine open set U_n of C such that $R^2 f_* F_n$ is locally free on U_n . By the same argument as in the next paragraph, the intersection of these infinitely many open sets is not empty. Now fix some t_0 in $\cap U_n$ such that $H^2(X_{t_0}, F_{n,t_0}) = 0$ for every $n \geq n(t_0)$ and there is an open neighborhood U_0 of t_0 in $\bar{C}$ such that $R^2 f_* F_{n(t_0)}$ is locally free on U_0 . Then $H^2(X_t, F_{n(t_0),t}) = 0$ for every t in U_0 . So $H^2(X_t, F_{n,t}) = 0$ for every t in U_0 and every $n \geq n(t_0)$. Let $C - U_0 = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$, choose $n_0 = \max(n(t_0), n(t_1), \dots, n(t_k))$, then $H^2(X_t, F_{n,t}) = 0$ for every $t \in C$ and every $n \geq n_0$. By upper semi-continuity theorem, $(R^2 f_* F_n)_t / \mathcal{P}(R^2 f_* F_n)_t = 0$ for all points t in C . By Nakayama's lemma, $R^2 f_* F_n|_C = 0$. By Goodman and Hartshorne's Lemma

$$H^2(Y, F) = \lim_{\vec{n}} H^2(f^{-1}(C), F_n) = \lim_{\vec{n}} R^2 f_* F_n(C) = 0.$$

(3) Proof of $H^1(Y', F) = 0$, where Y' is an open subset of Y obtained by removing finitely many fibres from Y .

Let F_n be as above. For any fixed n , there is an open set U_n in $\bar{C}$, such that $R^1 f_* F_n$ is locally free on U_n . Let $U_n = \bar{C} \setminus A_n$, where A_n is closed in $\bar{C}$, i.e., it consists only finitely many points of $\bar{C}$. Since any complete metric space is a Baire space, [Bo2], Chapter 9, $B = \bar{C} \setminus \cup A_n = \cap U_n$ is a dense subset of $\bar{C}$ in complex topology. Hence for every point t in B , all stalks $(R^1 f_* F_n)_t$ are locally free. Write B as a union of connected subsets B_m , $B = \cup B_m$, then there is one B_m , such that B_m is dense in $\bar{C}$ and connected in complex topology. So we may assume that B is connected. Again by upper-semicontinuity theorem, for every point t in C and every $n \geq n_0$, since $R^2 f_* F_n|_C = 0$, we have

$$(R^1 f_* F_n)_t \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong H^1(X_t, F_{n,t}).$$

For any m , $h^1(X_t, F_{m,t})$ is constant on B since $R^1 f_* F_m$ is locally free at every point t in B and B is connected. So for the above n and for all points t in B , there is l such that the map

$$H^1(X_t, F_{n,t}) \longrightarrow H^1(X_t, F_{n+l,t})$$

is zero. Moreover, for every point t in C and sufficiently large n , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^1 f_* F_n \otimes \mathbb{C}(t) & \xrightarrow{\approx} & H^1(X_t, F_{n,t}) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ R^1 f_* F_{n+l} \otimes \mathbb{C}(t) & \xrightarrow{\approx} & H^1(X_t, F_{n+l,t}). \end{array}$$

The map β is zero for every $t \in B$, so the map

$$\alpha : (R^1 f_* F_n)_t / \mathcal{P}(R^1 f_* F_n)_t \longrightarrow (R^1 f_* F_{n+l})_t / \mathcal{P}(R^1 f_* F_{n+l})_t$$

is zero for all points t in B . By the local freeness, this says for every point t in B , the stalks satisfy

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} (R^1 f_* F_n)_t = 0.$$

To see this, fix a point t_0 in B , for any sufficiently large n and for the above l , choose an affine open set V containing t_0 such that both $R^1 f_* F_n$ and $R^1 f_* F_{n+l}$ are locally free on V . So there are two positive integers m_1 and m_2 such that $R^1 f_* F_n(V) = \mathcal{O}(V)^{m_1}$ and $R^1 f_* F_{n+l}(V) = \mathcal{O}(V)^{m_2}$. Now for infinitely many maximal ideals $\mathcal{P}$, we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(V)^{m_1} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}(V)^{m_2} \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ \mathcal{O}(V)^{m_1}/\mathcal{P}\mathcal{O}(V)^{m_1} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{O}(V)^{m_2}/\mathcal{P}\mathcal{O}(V)^{m_2}. \end{array}$$

Since $\psi(\mathcal{O}(V)^{m_1}) \subset \cap \mathcal{P}\mathcal{O}(V)^{m_2} = 0$, where $\mathcal{P}$ runs over infinitely many maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}(V)$, we have $\psi(\mathcal{O}(V)^{m_1}) = 0$. This proves

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} (R^1 f_* F_n)_t = 0.$$

Since the direct limit of $R^1 f_* F_n$ is quasi-coherent, its support is locally closed. Now B is dense and connected in complex topology, there exists an affine open set U in $\bar{C}$ such that on U , the direct limit

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} R^1 f_* F_n|_U = 0.$$

Let $Y' = f^{-1}(U) - D$, by Goodman and Hartshorne's Lemma, we have

$$H^1(Y', F) = \lim_{\vec{n}} H^1(f^{-1}(U), F_n) = \lim_{\vec{n}} R^1 f_* F_n(U) = 0.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 3.2. In our above proof of step 3, we encounter the following two questions if we do not know the local freeness of $R^1 f_* F_n$.

(1) Let U be a smooth affine curve, then $\mathcal{O}(U) = A$ is a Dedekind domain. Let N be a finitely generated module over A , then under what condition, $\cap(\mathcal{P}N) = 0$? Where $\mathcal{P}$ runs over all maximal ideals of A . A sufficient condition is that N is projective module. But this condition is too strong. Our N is defined by cohomology. It is hard to see it is projective or not. Definitely finitely generated module is not sufficient. For example, let $U = \mathbb{A}^1$, $A = \mathcal{O}(U) = \mathbb{C}[x]$, $N = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2)$, then $\cap(\mathcal{P}N) \neq 0$.

(2) Let A be a Dedekind domain and $\mathcal{P}$ as above, let (M_n, f_n) be a direct system of finitely generated A -modules. If

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} (M_n/\mathcal{P}M_n) = 0,$$

under what conditions, can we say that

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} M_n = 0?$$

Again all M_n being finitely generated is not sufficient. For example, let $A = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, the ring of formal power series, let $M_n = t^{-n}A$, then

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} M_n = \mathbb{K} \neq 0,$$

where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}((t))$ but

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} M_n / \mathcal{P}M_n = 0.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Lemma 4.1. *$R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X(nD)$ is locally free for all $i \geq 0$ and $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. Since each fibre has dimension 2, by upper semi-continuity theorem, $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X(nD) = 0$ for all $i > 2$ and $n \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.1, since each fibre X_t is of type (2), we have

$$h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h^2(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = 0$$

for all $t \in C$ and $n \gg 0$.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.2. *$R^i f_* \Omega_X^3(nD) = R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + nD)$ is locally free for all $i \geq 0$ and $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. Since X_t is smooth, we have $K_X + D|_{X_t} = K_{X_t} = K_t$. So $\Omega_X^3(nD)|_{X_t} = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + nD)|_{X_t} = \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(K_t + (n-1)D_t)$, where $D_t = D|_{X_t}$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$h^0(X_t, \Omega_X^3(nD)|_{X_t}) = h^0(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(K_t + (n-1)D_t)) = 1,$$

$$h^1(X_t, \Omega_X^3(nD)|_{X_t}) = h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(K_t + (n-1)D_t)) = 1,$$

and

$$h^2(X_t, \Omega_X^3(nD)|_{X_t}) = h^2(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(K_t + (n-1)D_t)) = 0$$

for all $n \gg 0$. This proves the local freeness.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.3. *$R^i f_* \Omega_X^1(nD)$ is locally free for all $i \geq 0$ and $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. From the exact sequences ([H1], II, Theorem 8.17 and [GrH], page 157)

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1|_{X_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_t}^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_X(nD)$, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_t}^1(nD_t) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will prove that for any two points $t, t' \in C$ and all $n \gg 0$, we have

$$h^i(X_t, \Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_t}) = h^i(X_{t'}, \Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_{t'}}).$$

Then by upper semi-continuity theorem, we are done. By the above short exact sequences, for both fibres X_t and $X_{t'}$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & H^0(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_t}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & H^0(\Omega_{X_t}^1(nD_t)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) \\
& \parallel & \downarrow \phi & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_{t'}}(nD_{t'})) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & H^0(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_{t'}}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & H^0(\Omega_{X_{t'}}^1(nD_{t'})) & \xrightarrow{\beta_3} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_{t'}}(nD_{t'})),
\end{array}$$

where the natural map ϕ is defined as follows. If $\xi \in H^0(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_t})$ is contained in the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_t}(nD_t)) = \mathbb{C}$, then there is a number $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\xi = \alpha_1(a)$. Thus we define $\phi(a) = \beta_1(a)$. If ξ is not contained in the image of α_1 , then $\alpha_2(\xi) \in H^0(\Omega_{X_t}^1(nD_t))$ and $\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2(\xi) = 0$. So there is $\eta \in H^0(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_{t'}})$ such that $\beta_3 \circ \beta_2(\eta) = 0$. Define $\phi(\xi) = \eta$, by 5-Lemma [La], we have

$$H^0(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_t}) = H^0(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_{t'}}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$H^i(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_t}) = H^i(\Omega_X^1(nD)|_{X_{t'}})$$

for $i > 0$.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.4. *$R^i f_* \Omega_X^2(nD)$ is locally free for all $i \geq 0$ and $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. Notice that we have the short exact sequence ([H1], II, Theorem 8.17 and [GrH], page 157)

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_t}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^2|_{X_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_t}^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_X(nD)$, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_t}^1(nD_t) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^2(nD)|_{X_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X_t}^2(nD_t) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, for every $t \in C$, we know

$$h^0(X_t, \Omega_{X_t}^2(nD_t)) = h^1(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(K_t + nD_t)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h^2(X_t, \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(K_t + nD_t)) = 0.$$

By the same argument as the above lemma, for any two points $t, t' \in C$ and all $n \gg 0$, writing the long exact sequences for t and t' , we have

$$h^i(X_t, \Omega_X^2(nD)|_{X_t}) = h^i(X_{t'}, \Omega_X^2(nD)|_{X_{t'}}).$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.5. *For every $t \in C$, $H^i(S_t, \Omega_Y^j|_{S_t}) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ and $i > 0$, where $S_t = X_t - D_t$.*

Proof. Since S_t is a surface, we only need to consider $i = 1, 2$.

The claim is obvious for $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Since S_t is smooth, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \phi_t/\phi_t^2 = \mathcal{O}_{S_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_Y^1|_{S_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_{S_t}^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where ϕ_t is the defining sheaf of S_t . Therefore the claim holds for $\Omega_Y^1|_{S_t}$. Since the normal sheaf

$$\mathcal{N}_{S_t/Y} = \mathcal{H}om(\phi_t/\phi_t^2, \mathcal{O}_{S_t}) = \mathcal{O}_{S_t},$$

we have

$$\omega_{S_t} \cong \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{N}_{S_t/Y} \cong \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S_t} = \omega_Y|_{S_t}.$$

Hence the claim holds for $\Omega_Y^3|_{S_t}$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S_t}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_Y^2|_{S_t} \longrightarrow \Omega_{S_t}^2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get the claim for $\Omega_Y^2|_{S_t}$.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.6. *For all $j \geq 0$, $H^2(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$.*

Proof. The sheaves $\Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)$ are locally free by the above lemmas for all $n \gg 0$. By upper semi-continuity theorem, there is an integer n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $R^2 f_* \Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)|_C = 0$. By Goodman and Hartshorne's Lemma [GH], we have

$$H^2(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = \lim_{\vec{n}} H^2(f^{-1}(C), \Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)) = \lim_{\vec{n}} R^2 f_* \Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)(C) = 0.$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.7. *For all $j \geq 0$, $H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$.*

Proof. By the local freeness lemmas and Goodman and Hartshorne's Lemma, we have

$$H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = \lim_{\vec{n}} H^1(f^{-1}(C), \Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)) = \lim_{\vec{n}} R^1 f_* \Omega_X^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nD)(C) = 0.$$

Q.E.D.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

We will prove Theorem 1.6 by construct an example. Let C_t be a smooth projective elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$, $t \neq 0, 1$. Let Z be the elliptic surface defined by the same equation, then we have surjective morphism from Z to $C = \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ such that for every $t \in C$, the fibre $f^{-1}(t) = C_t$.

Lemma 5.1. *There is a rank 2 vector bundle E on Z such that when restricted to C_t , $E|_{C_t} = E_t$ is the unique nonsplit extension of $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$, where f is the morphism from Z to C .*

Proof. Since $f : Z \longrightarrow C$ is an elliptic fibration, for every t , we have

$$h^1(f^{-1}(t), \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(t)}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_t}) = 1.$$

So

$$R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes \mathbb{C}(t) \cong H^1(C_t, \mathcal{O}_{C_t}) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

It gives us

$$(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Z)_t / \mathcal{P}_t(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Z)_t \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

By Nakayama's lemma, $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Z$ is a line bundle on C . Since $\mathbb{C}[x, 1/x, 1/(x-1)]$ is principle ideal domain, the Picard group of C is trivial, i.e., any line bundle on C is trivial. Therefore $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ and

$$H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_Z(C) = \mathcal{O}_C(C) = \mathbb{C}[x, \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{x-1}].$$

Given any exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0,$$

let ξ be the image of unit of $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ in $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, we get an element of $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Conversely, given any element ξ in $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, we can get an exact sequence as above. The procedure is the following. Take any (degree) large ample line bundle L on the elliptic surface Z , for any positive integer n , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{\alpha} L^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\beta} G \longrightarrow 0,$$

where G is the quotient which is a vector bundle. We may assume $H^1(Z, L) = 0$ by raising the degree of L since L is ample. So we have surjective map $H^0(Z, G) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. Hence ξ can be lifted to an element η in $H^0(Z, G)$. This element η defines a map from $\mathcal{O}_Z$ to G , $\eta : \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow G$, sending 1 to η . Let $E = \beta^{-1}(\eta(\mathcal{O}_Z))$, then we have exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} \eta(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

So there is one-to-one correspondence between the elements of $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ and the above exact sequences. Further, we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z & \longrightarrow & L^{\oplus n} & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbb{C} \subset H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[x, \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{x-1}]$, $1 \in H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. This nonzero element 1 corresponds to a rank 2 vector bundle E such that when restricted to every fibre C_t , it is the nonsplit extension of $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$. In fact, in the natural restriction map

$$H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \longrightarrow H^1(C_t, \mathcal{O}_{C_t}),$$

1 goes to 1. A nonzero element of $H^1(C_t, \mathcal{O}_{C_t})$ determines a nonsplit extension of $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 5.2. *There is a divisor D on $X = \mathbb{P}_Z(E)$ such that when restricted to $X_t = \mathbb{P}_{C_t}(E_t)$, $D|_{X_t} = D_t$ is the canonical section of X_t .*

Proof. By the above lemma, we have surjective map from E to $\mathcal{O}_Z$. It corresponds to a section $\sigma : Z \longrightarrow X$. When restricted to C_t , $\sigma|_{C_t} = \sigma_t : C_t \longrightarrow X_t$ is the unique nonsplit extension of $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{C_t}$.

Q.E.D.

Let $Y = X - D$, by the proof Theorem 1.3, we have $H^i(Y, \Omega_Y^j) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and $j \geq 0$. By now we have constructed a nonaffine, nonproduct example of threefold Y with vanishing Hodge cohomology. This proves Theorem 1.6.

In the example, every fibre is Stein and the base curve is Stein but we do not know whether the threefold is Stein. It is also interesting to construct a threefold with type (3) open surfaces as fibres.

REFERENCES

- [Art] Artin, M., Some numerical criteria for contractibility of curves on algebraic surfaces. Amer. J. Math. 84(1962), 485-496.
- [Ba] Bădescu, L., Algebraic Surfaces, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [BaPV] Barth, W.; Peters, C.; Van de Ven, A., Compact Complex Surfaces, Springer-Verlag, second edition, 2004.
- [Bo1] Bourbaki, N., Commutative Algebra, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [Bo2] Bourbaki, N., General Topology, Chapters 5-10, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [C] Cutkosky, S. D., Zariski decomposition of divisors on algebraic varieties, Duke Math. J. 53(1986), no. 1, 149-156.
- [CS] Cutkosky, S. D.; Srinivas, V. On a problem of Zarisky on dimensions of linear systems. Ann. of Math. (2) 137 (1993), no. 3, 531-559.
- [FQ] Friedman, R.; Qin, Z., On Complex surfaces diffeomorphic to rational surfaces. Invent. Math. 120(1995), no.1, 81-117.
- [GH] Goodman, J.; Hartshorne, R., Schemes with finite-dimensional cohomology groups, American Journal of Mathematics, v. 91, Issue 1, 258-266, 1969.
- [GrH] Griffiths, P. and Harris, J., Principals of Algebraic Geometry, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1994.
- [H1] Hartshorne, R., Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [H2] Hartshorne, R., Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 156, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [H3] Hartshorne, R., Local Cohomology, Lecture Notes in Mathe., 41, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [I1] Iitaka, S., Birational Geometry for Open Varieties, Les Presses de l'Universite de Montreal, 1981.
- [I2] Iitaka, S., Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, ICM, 1983.
- [I3] Iitaka, S., Birational geometry and logarithmic forms, Recent Progress of Algebraic Geometry in Japan, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 73, 1-27.
- [I4] Iitaka, S., Deformation of compact complex surfaces I, Global Analysis, papers in honor of K. Kodaira, Princeton Univ. Press, 1969, 267-272.
- [I5] Iitaka, S., Deformation of compact complex surfaces II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 22, 1970, 247-261.
- [I6] Iitaka, S., Some applications of logarithmic Kodaira dimension, Proc. Int. Symp. algebraic Geometry, Kyoto, 1978.
- [Kal] Kawamata, Y., On the extension problem of pluricanonical forms, Algebraic geometry: Hirzebruch 70 (Warsaw, 1998), 193-207, Contemp. Math., 241. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Kod] Kodaira, K., On stability of compact submanifold of complex manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 85(1963), 79-94.
- [Kol1] Kollár, J., Higher Direct Images of Dualizing Sheaves I, Ann. of Math., v.123, 1(1986), 11-42.
- [Kol2] Kollár, J., Higher Direct Images of Dualizing Sheaves, II, Ann. of Math., v.124, 1(1986), 171-202.

- [KoM] Kollár, J., Mori, S., Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [Ku] Kumar, N. Mohan, Affine-Like Surfaces, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, 2(1993), 689-703.
- [La] Lang, S., Algebra, 1993.
- [L1] Luo, T., Global 2-forms on regular 3-folds of general type. Duke Math. J. 71 (1993), no.3, 859-869.
- [L2] Luo, T., Global holomorphic 2-forms and pluricanonical systems on threefolds. Math. Ann. 318 (2000), no. 4, 707-730.
- [LZ] Luo, T.; Zhang, Qi, Holomorphic forms on threefolds, preprint, 2003.
- [M] Matsuki, K., Introduction to the Mori program. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [Mu] Mumford, D., Abelian Varieties, Oxford University Press, 1970.
- [NS] Norguet, F.; Siu, Y.T. Holomorphic convexity of spaces of analytic cycles. Bull. Soc. Math. France 105, 191-223(1977).
- [P] Peternell, T., Hodge-kohomologie und Steinsche Mannigfaltigkeiten, Complex Analysis, Aspects of Mathematics, Vieweg-Verlag, 1990, 235-246.
- [Se] Serre, J. P., Quelques problèmes globaux relatifs aus variétés de Stein, Collected Papers, Vol.1, Springer-Verlag(1985), 259-270.
- [Sim] Simpson, C., The construction problem in Kähler geometry, to appear in the volume "Different Faces of Geometry", International Mathematics Series, vol.3.
- [Sk] Skoda, H. Fibres holomorphes base et fibre de Stein. (French) Invent. Math. 43 (1977), no. 2, 97-107.
- [Siu1] Siu, Y.-T., Analytic sheaf cohomology of dimension n of n -dimensional complex spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143, 77-94(1969).
- [Siu2] Siu, Y.-T., Invariance of plurigenera, Invent. math. 134, 661-673(1998).
- [U] Ueno, K., Classification Theory of Algebraic Varieties and Compact Complex Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, v.439, 1975, Springer-Verlag.
- [V] Viehweg, E., Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension certain fibre spaces, Adv. Studies Pure Math. 1(1983), 329-353.
- [Za] Zariski, O., The theorem of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface, Ann. of Math. (2), 76(1962), 560-616.
- [Zh] Zhang, J., Threefolds with vanishing Hodge cohomology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357, Number 5, 1977-1994.
- [Zq] Zhang, Q., Global holomorphic one-forms on projective manifolds with ample canonical bundles. J. Algebraic Geometry 6 (1997), 777-787.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA
E-mail address: zhangj@math.missouri.edu